# IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

Stanley Simmons,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-425-DCN-BM

Dorchester County Detention Center; Dorchester County Sheriff L.C. Knight In His Official Capacity; South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense and Office of Indigent Defense; Summerville Police Department; and, John Does 1-10,

Defendants.

## PLAINTIFF'S ANSWERS TO LOCAL RULE 26.01 INTERROGATORIES

THE PLAINTIFF, above-named, by and through their undersigned Counsel of Record, hereby answers Federal Local Rule 26.01 Interrogatories as follows:

A. State the full name, address, and telephone number of all persons or legal entities who may have a subrogation interest in each claim and state the basis and extent of that interest.

Answer: Plaintiff is unaware of any such claim(s). To the extent necessary, Plaintiffs reserve the right to supplement their responses to this request.

B. As to each claim, state whether it should be tried jury or non-jury and why.

Answer: Non-Jury. This is an action at law for damages, however, Plaintiff waives their right to a trial by jury of this matter.

C. State whether the party submitting these responses is a publicly-owned company and separately identify: (1) any parent corporation and any publicly-held corporation owning ten percent (10%) or more of the party's stock; (2) each publicly-owned company of which it is a parent and (3) each publicly-owned company which the party owns ten percent (10%) or more of the outstanding shares.

Answer: No / Not applicable.

D. State the basis for asserting the claim in the division in which it was filed (or the basis of any challenge to the appropriateness of the division).

Answer: The acts or omissions giving rise to the claim(s) asserted within the Complaint occurred in the Charleston Division.

E. Is this action related in whole or in part to any other matter filed in this district, whether civil or criminal?

Answer: Upon information and belief, no.

F. If the defendant is improperly identified, give the proper identification and state whether counsel will accept service of an amended summons and pleading reflecting the correct identification.

Answer: This interrogatory is more appropriate for the Defendant. To the extent a response from Plaintiffs is required, Plaintiffs would assert that the Defendant has been correctly named.

G. If you contend that some other person or legal entity is, in whole or in apart, liable to you or the party asserting a claim against you in this matter, identify such person or entity and describe the basis of their liability.

Answer: Upon information and belief, the only party which Plaintiffs contend are liable is the named Defendant. However, Plaintiffs

# reserve the right to supplement their response to this request if / when other liable parties may become known to Plaintiffs.

Respectfully submitted this 13th day of February, 2019:

By:

### **SLOTCHIVER & SLOTCHIVER, LLP**

/s/ Andrew J. McCumber, Esq.
Andrew J. McCumber, Esq.
Fed ID No. 11991
751 Johnnie Dodds Blvd, Suite 100
Mount Pleasant, SC 29464
Telephone: (843) 577-6531
Facsimile: (843) 577-0261
andrew@slotchiverlaw.com

#### LEEDS AVENUE LAW GROUP, LLC

Christopher W. Burrows, Esq. *Fed. ID No.* 12139 3740 Leeds Ave. N. Charleston, SC 29405 P – (843) 800-0529 F – (843) 507-8774 chris@leedsave.com

#### ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF

Charleston, South Carolina